Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42013 Rita Laura Redow Karbalai RN102075769 Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E

Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

People or environmental receptors have been exposed to pollutants which exceed levels that are protective.

Media:

MWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Carby Street MHP Plant, located approximately 900 feet north and 1,900 feet east of the intersection of Airline Drive and Carby Street, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: March 23, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$17,640

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$0 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$490 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$17,150

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$490 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42013 Rita Laura Redow Karbalai RN102075769 Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 19, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 9, 2011

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for 5-day biochemical oxygen demand, total chlorine residual, flow, ammonia nitrogen, and total suspended solids [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0014217001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2].
- 2. Failed to submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2010 by September 1, 2010 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and 305.125(17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001, Sludge Provisions].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2010;
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision a.;
- c. Within 90 days, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits; and
- d. The certifications required by Ordering Provisions b. and c. shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with the ordering provisions.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42013 Rita Laura Redow Karbalai RN102075769 Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E

Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: Steve Villatoro, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-4930; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Laura Karbalai, Owner, P.O. Box 55528, Houston, Texas 77255

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 13-Jun-2011 29-Dec-2011 Screening 5-Jul-2011 PCW EPA Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Rita Laura Redow Karbalai Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102075769 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 12-Houston CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 3 Enf./Case ID No. 42013 Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E Order Type Findings Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Steve Villatoro Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$12,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 47.0% Enhancement \$5,640 Enhancement for four months of self-reported effluent violations, one Notes NOV with same/similar violations, one NOV with dissimilar violations, and one agreed order with a denial of liability. Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$0 Subtotal 5 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$853 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$17,640 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes \$17,640 Final Penalty Amount

No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage.

\$17,640

\$17,640

\$0

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

0.0%

Screening Date 5-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E

Respondent Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

Case ID No. 42013

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102075769 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Steve Villatoro Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	5	25%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	a	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audica	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	O	0%
		ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 2)
at Violator (edereteretetikken 1800.	
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 3)
liance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Per	centage (Subt	otal 7)
liance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History	Enhancement for four months of self-reported effluent violations, one NOV with violations, one NOV with dissimilar violations, and one agreed order with a deni		

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 47%

Screening Date		Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Rita Laura Redow Karbalai	•	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Media [Statute]			Warrange
Enf. Coordinator			77
Violation Number	1		22277222
Rule Cite(s)		t(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and	
	Pollutant Discharge Elimir	nation System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ00142	17001,
TAKAN MARINE MAR	Effluent Limit	ations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1	
Violation Description		mitted effluent limits, as documented during a name 19, 2011 and shown in the attached table	
	review conducted or	I May 19, 2011 and shown in the attached table	2·
		Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human He alth Harm	і маєгіх	
Release	Major Moderate	Minor	
OR Actual		F00/	
Potential		Percent 50%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		Percent 0%	
A simplified	model was used to evaluate	ammonia nitrogen and five day blochemical ox	rygen
Matrix demand to det	ermine whether the dischar	ged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels proto suspended solids and flow were also evaluated	ective of
		h or the environment has been exposed to pollu	
		of human health or environmental receptors.	
L			
		Adjustment	\$5,000
			\$5,000
			45,000
Violation Events			
Number of V	iolation Events 2	61 Number of violation da	ave
Number of V	Toldcion Evenes 2	d Landston de la Contraction de	.,,
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly x quarterly	Violation Base	Penalty \$10,000
with an x	semiannual		* ************************************
	annual		
	single event		
:			
Two mo	inthly events are recommen	ded for the months of June 2010 and July 2010	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	olv 0.0%	Reduction	\$0
999919011 2119119 19 99111	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Security Control of the Control of t
,	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary		
	N/A X	(mark with x)	
	Notes The Respond	lent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
		this violation.	
	National and the second and the seco	Violation S	ubtotal \$10,000
		VIOIALION S	910/000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit T	est
Fstimate	d EB Amount	\$849 Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$14,700
			Accession of the control of the cont
	This viol	lation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$14,700

Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
tioloxion ito:	Ī					5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
			2006-80000000000000000000000000000000000	Indedoedastast			
Delayed Costs	[,			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Other (as needed)		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Land		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
		#884\$36.4a					
				n nn l	¢Λ	n/a	-: C()
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00 1.70 ermine	\$0 \$849 the cause of the	n/a n/a effluent violations a	\$0 \$849 nd complete
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 termine onth o f comp	\$0 \$849 In the cause of the If noncompliance a liance.	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the	\$0 \$849 nd complete expected date
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 termine nonth o f comp	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. g item (except i	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the or one-time avoid	\$0 \$849 nd complete expected date
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 termine nonth o of comp	\$0 \$849 In the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. g item (except 1 \$0	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the for one-time avoid	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 cermine nonth of comp enterine 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. g item (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the or one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 termine nonth of comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 ermine nonth o f comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 1.70 ermine nonth of f comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. gitem (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the form one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 ermine nonth o f comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. gitem (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Perction/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated (corrective ac	cost to evaluate the tions. Date require	ne Facility to de ed is the first n	0.00 1.70 1.70 ermine nonth of f comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$849 the cause of the f noncompliance a liance. gitem (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a effluent violations a nd final date is the form one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$849 and complete expected date led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

PCW on 2 (September 2002) vision October 30, 2008	Rita Laura Redow Karbalai Policy Revision	Screening Date Respondent Case ID No.
	Water Quality Steve Villatoro	Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator Violation Number
	Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 2	Rule Cite(s)
	Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits, as documented during a record review conducted on May 19, 2011 and shown in the attached table.	Violation Description
\$10,000	Base Penalty	
	ty and Human Health Matrix	>> Environmental, Proper
	Harm Major Moderate Minor	Release
	X Percent 10%	OR Actual Potential
		>>Programmatic Matrix
	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	Falsification
		(
	esidual was evaluated to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants els protective of human health or the environment. As a result of these discharges,	Matrix exceeded lev
	or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do ot exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors.	
	Adjustment \$9,000	L.
\$1,000	Aujustilient ps/000	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Violation Events
	violation Events 1 28 Number of violation days	
	become a least the second seco	Number of V
	daily weekly	
\$1,000	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty	mark only one with an x
	semiannual annual	
	single event	
	rly event is recommended for the quarter containing the month of February 2011.	One quarte
-	The exercise recommended to the quarter containing the monoton (tester)	One quarte
\$0		Good Faith Efforts to Comp
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary N/A x (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
\$1,000	Violation Subtotal	
	this violation Statutory Limit Test	Economic Benefit (EB) for
\$1,470	ed EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty Total	Estimate
\$1,470	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	VALUE (CO.D.). (CO.D.)

	in para na managana an an ang ang an	conomic	вепепт	AAO	гкѕпеет		
Respondent		dow Karbalai					
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102075769)					**********
Media	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2					i ci cont anteres	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	 	T T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided			t for Violation No.	l. or one-time avolc	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0 L	\$0
	producer and the first of the						
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

	ing Date		Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E	PCW
** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	spondent se ID No.	Rita Laura Redow Karbalai		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Refer				TOPE NOTION GROSS GRY LOCK
		Water Quality		
	ordinator on Number	Steve Villatoro		
1	ule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §	305.125(1) and 305.125(17) and TPDES Perm 0014217001, Sludge Provisions	it No.
Violation C	Description		sludge report for the monitoring period ending 0, as documented in a record review conducted 19, 2011.	
			Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmenta	d Proper	ty and Human Health	Matrix	
>> City) Officials		Harm		
OR	Release Actual	Major Moderate	Minor	
	Potential		Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic	Matrix alsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		x l	Percent 10%	
Matrix		100% of the ru	le requirement was not met.	
Notes				
Executed in the control of the contr		>	• • •	\$9,000
			Adjustment	
				\$1,000
Violation Events				
				I
	Number of V	iolation Events 1	307 Number of violation d	lays
	ľ	daily		
		weekly		
т	ark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual annual	Violation Base	Penalty \$1,000
	1	single event x		
		One single	event is recommended.	
<u> </u>			•	
Good Faith Efforts	s to Comp	Ny 0.0% Before NOV	Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
		Extraordinary Extraordinary	NOV to EDFRY/Settlement Ones	
		Ordinary		
		N/A X	(mark with x)	
		Notes The Respond	dent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
			Violation 9	Subtotal \$1,000
	/-nv-		#4114111411111 * 511154	
Economic Benefit	(FR) tor	inis violation	Statutory Limit	rest
	Estimate	d EB Amount	\$3 Violation Final Pena	Ity Total \$1,470
		This vio	lation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$1,470

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Rita Laura Red	low Karbalai	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	111199331870		 Proposition and professional and a series of the control of the cont	
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102075769						
	Water Quality						Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	3					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vrc	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description		Date Required	i mui Ducc		Interest Sures	Oncume costs	
	110 COMMICS OF 4					## [P2 1, 1940 F1 #12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	98988989889918909808908
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$50	1-Sep-2010	11-Jan-2012	1.36	\$0 \$3	n/a n/a	\$0 \$3
	Estimated co	st to submit the a	annual sludge r	1.36 eport fo	\$3 or the monitoring (\$3 I, 2010. Date
Other (as needed)	Estimated co	st to submit the a	annual sludge r	1.36 eport fo	\$3 or the monitoring p is due and the fina	n/a period ending July 3	\$3 I, 2010. Date
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for port wa compli	\$3 or the monitoring ; s due and the fina ance.	n/a period ending July 3	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for port wa compli	\$3 or the monitoring ; s due and the fina ance.	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expecto	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for port wa compli enterir	\$3 or the monitoring paid the final ance. ng item (except	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expecto	\$3 I, 2010. Date ed date of led costs)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for port wa compli enterir 0.00	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the fina ance. og item (except \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoic	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for port wa compli enterin 0.00 0.00	\$3 or the monitoring s due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring part of the final of t	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1,36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of Ed costs
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1.36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring j s due and the fina ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel (Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1,36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of Ed costs
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel (Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1,36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 I, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1,36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 I, 2010. Date ed date of led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co required	ost to submit the a is the date the ar	annual sludge r nnual sludge re	1,36 eport for compliance of the compliance of t	\$3 or the monitoring is due and the final ance. ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a period ending July 3 al date is the expector for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 1, 2010. Date ed date of s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001 Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E Case No. 42013

EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE								
Months	BOD5 Conc. Single Grab	BOD5 Daily Ave. Loading	Total Chlorine Residual Monthly Max.	Flow Daily Ave.	Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Ave. Conc.	Ammonia Nitrogen Conc. Single Grab	Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Ave. Loading	TSS Daily Ave. Loading
	Limit = 35 mg/L	Limit = 1.7 lbs/day	Limit = 4 mg/L	Limit = 0.02 MGD	Limit = 3 mg/L	Limit = 15 mg/L	Limit = 0.5 lbs/day	Limit = 2.5 lbs/day
June 2010	. c	c · · · · · ·	c	c	11.30	23	0.65	· c
July 2010	35.2	2.34	c	0.061	8.27	42	0.57	2.55
February 2011	c	c	5	c	c	c	c	c .

ave. = average

c = compliant

conc. = concentration

max. = maximum

mg/L = milligrams per liter

lbs/day = pounds per day

MGD = million gallons per day

BOD5 = five day biochemical oxygen demand

TSS = total suspended solids

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN601121031

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 4.63

Regulated Entity:

RN102075769

CARBY STREET MHP PLANT

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 5.43

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER

PERMIT

WQ0014217001

Location:

WASTEWATER

EPA ID

TX0123579

LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 900 FEET NORTH AND 1,900 FEET EAST OF THE INTERSECTION OF AIRLINE DRIVE AND CARBY STREET,

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

TCEQ Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

Date Compliance History Prepared:

March 27, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

August 08, 2006 to August 08, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Steve Villatoro

Phone:

(512) 239-4930

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

Yes

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

OWN

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

OWN

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

OWN

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

02/08/2010

OWN

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

11/05/2010

OWN

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator:

NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 02/20/2011

ADMINORDER 2010-0497-MWD-E

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(d)

Rgmt Prov: Mon. and Rep. Reg. No. 2/Op. Reg. No. 5 PERMIT

Description: Failed to measure flow in an accurate and representative manner. Specifically, the staff gauge used to

measure flow was mounted on the weir blade.

Classification: Minor

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: EL&MR, P. 2, No. 2 PERMIT

Description: Failed to maintain compliance with permitted effluent limitations. Specifically, a grab sample collected during the investigation showed a chlorine residual of 0.11 milligrams per liter ("mg/L"). The permitted minimum chlorine residual

is 1.0 mg/L.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Rgmt Prov: Operational Req. No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to ensure that the facility and all its systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained. Specifically, four and a half feet of settled solids were in the chlorine contact basin.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 30, SubChapter J 30.350(d)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: Other Requirements, P. 23, No. 1. PERMIT

Description: Failed to employ or contract with a licensed operator. Specifically, the permit requires that the Facility have an operator holding a Category D license or higher, and at the time of the investigation, the operator held an expired Category D license.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: Per. Con. No. 2.d/EL&MR No. 4 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent a discharge of solids into the receiving stream (Harris County Flood Control Ditch P118-23-00). Specifically, six to 12 inches of settled sludge were observed extending for approximately 400 feet down gradient of the point of discharge into ditch P118-23-00 and approximately 50 feet up gradient of the point of discharge.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(9)

Rgmt Prov: M&RR, P. 5, No. 7 PERMIT

Description: Failed to submit a noncompliance notification to the TCEQ. Specifically, neither a 24 hour noncompliance notification nor a 5 day written notification for the discharge of sludge into the receiving stream was submitted as required.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(11)(B) 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(a)

30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(c)

Rqmt Prov: Mon. and Rep. Req. Nos. 3.b and 3.c PERMIT

Operational. Req. No.1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to maintain calibration and maintenance records, quality assurance/quality control records, process control records, and operation and maintenance records.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(d)

Ramt Prov: M&RR No. 1/Sludge Provisions PERMIT

Description: Failed to submit monitoring results at the intervals specified in the permit. Specifically, the DMRs for the monitoring periods of May, October, November, and December 2009, were not submitted by the 20th day of the following month as required, and the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2009, was not submitted by September 1, 2009.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: Permit Conditions No. 2.g PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent a discharge of raw sewage into or adjacent to water in the state. Specifically, evidence of a discharge of raw sewage was noted from a main line running through the mobile home park. The clean out cap was missing and raw sewage was observed in the ditch that discharges into the Harris County Flood Control District ditch.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	10/24/06	(509884)
2	01/03/07	(534515)
3	03/07/07	(536695)
4	03/22/07	(632704)
5	05/25/07	(632705)
6	05/25/07	(632706)
7	05/25/07	(632707)
8	08/20/07	(632708)
9	08/20/07	(632709)
10	01/22/07	(632710)
11	09/18/07	(632711)

12	01/22/07	(632712)
13	11/19/07	(632713)
14	01/22/07	(632714)
15	11/19/07	(632715)
16	01/22/07	(632716)
17	11/19/07	(632717)
18	01/22/07	(632718)
19	03/22/07	(632719)
20	01/28/08	(677604)
21	01/28/08	(677605)
22	03/20/08	(696379)
23	06/06/08	(696380)
24	02/25/09	(756474)
25	12/17/08	(756475)
26	12/17/08	(756476)
27	12/17/08	(756477)
28	01/20/09	(756478)
29	01/20/08	(756479)
30	02/25/09	(756480)
31	09/28/09	(764592)
32	03/29/10	(791256)
33	04/24/09	(820470)
34	06/01/09	(820471)
35	06/29/09	(820472)
36	11/06/09	(820473)
37	11/06/09	(820474)
38	11/06/09	(820475)
39	11/06/09	(820476)
40	03/08/10	(836435)
41	04/15/10	(836436)
42	05/14/10	(836437)
43	06/14/10	(847968)
44	06/30/10	(862403)
45	08/16/10	(869021)
46	09/16/10	(875866)
47	10/11/10	(883465)
48	11/12/10	(889842)
49	12/13/10	(898240)
50	01/26/11	(904102)
51	02/17/11	(910979)
52	03/24/11	(918262)
53	06/10/11	(921560)
54	04/19/11	(930905)
tices o	of violations (NOV).	(CCEDS Inv. Trac

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 10/24/2006 (509884)

CN601121031

Self

NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(b)

Description: Failure to provide the appropriate documentation for sample preservation. The samples collected on June 23 and 24, 2006 by the "client" were not received by

the lab until June 27, 2006. The temperature of the samples, when received by the

laboratory, was not documented on the chain of custody.

Self Report?

NO Citation:

Monitoring & Reporting, pg 4, no. 1 PERMIT

Description:

Failure to accurately calculate and report the loading for carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand, total suspended solids, and ammoni-nitrogen. The Discharge Monitoring Reports were incorrect for July 2005 through June 2006. This violation was noted in the last inspection conducted on April 28, 2004.

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Minor

Minor

Minor

Minor

Minor

Minor

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(c)

Citation: Description:

Failure to accurately calculate and report the daily average flow on the Discharge Monitoring Report for July 2005 through September 2005 and March 2006. The instantaneous flow measurements are recorded five days per week. The average was being calculated based on flow measurements being aguired seven days a week.

Date 07/31/2007

(632711)

CN601121031

Self Report? YES

Classification: Moderate

NO

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Citation:

(764592)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 09/28/2009

CN601121031 Classification:

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Description:

Self Report?

Failure to maintain the clarifier skimmer.

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 317 317.4(d)(3)

Description:

Failure to maintain an intruder-resistant fence around the wastewater treatment plant.

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(4)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Description: Failure to prevent the unauthorized discharge of sludge from the wastewater treatment

NO

Self Report?

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(d) Mon. and Rep. Reg. No. 2/Op. Reg. No. 5 PERMIT

Description:

Failed to measure flow in an accurate and representative manner. Specifically, the staff

gauge used to measure flow was mounted on the weir blade. Classification:

Citation:

NO Self Report?

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

EL&MR, P. 2, No. 2 PERMIT

Description:

Failed to maintain compliance with permitted effluent limitations. Specifically, a grab sample collected during the investigation showed a chlorine residual of 0.11 milligrams

per liter ("mg/L"). The permitted minimum chlorine residual is 1.0 mg/L.

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Operational Req. No. 1 PERMIT

Description:

Citation:

Failed to ensure that the facility and all its systems of collection, treatment, and disposal

are properly operated and maintained. Specifically, four and a half feet of settled solids

were in the chlorine contact basin.

(862403)

Date 06/30/2010

CN601121031

Self Report? YES

Classification: Moderate 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

Citation:

Description:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Date 07/31/2010

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(869021)CN601121031

Self Report? Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Date 02/28/2011

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Self Report?

CN601121031

Classification: Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Page 4 of 5

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G. N/A

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. H.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. I.

Early compliance. J.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
RITA LAURA REDOW KARBALAI	§	
RN102075769	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1117-MWD-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("the Commission"	or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an
enforcement action	regarding Rita Laura Redow Karbalai ("the Respondent") under the
authority of TEX. WAT	ER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the
Enforcement Division	, and the Respondent presented this agreement to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that she has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 900 feet north and 1,900 feet east of the intersection of Airline Drive and Carby Street in Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").

- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. During a record review on May 19, 2011, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not comply with permitted effluent limitations, as shown in the table below:

EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE								
Months	BOD5 Conc. Single Grab	BOD5 Daily Ave. Loading	Total Chlorine Residual Monthly Max.	Flow Daily Ave.	Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Ave. Conc.	Ammonia Nitrogen Conc. Single Grab	Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Ave. Loading	TSS Daily Ave. Loading
	Limit = 35 mg/L	Limit = 1.7 lbs/day	Limit = 4 mg/L	Limit = 0.02 MGD	Limit = 3 mg/L	Limit = 15 mg/L	Limit = 0.5 lbs/day	Limit = 2.5 lbs/day
June 2010	· c	С	с	С	11.30	23	0.65	c
July 2010	35.2	2.34	c	0.061	8.27	42	0.57	2,55
February 2011	c	. c	5	. c	c	c	. с	c

ave. = average

c = compliant

conc. = concentration

max. = maximum

mg/L = milligrams per liter

lbs/day = pounds per day

MGD = million gallons per day

BOD5 = five day biochemical oxygen demand

TSS = total suspended solids

- 4. During a record review on May 19, 2011, TCEQ staff documented that the Respondent did not submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2010 by September 1, 2010.
- 5. The Respondent received notice of the violations on June 13, 2011.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to comply with permitted effluent limits, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0014217001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 2.

- 3. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 4, the Respondent failed to submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2010 by September 1, 2010, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and 305.125(17) and TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001, Sludge Provisions.
- 4. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 5. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Six Hundred Forty Dollars (\$17,640) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. WATER CODE § 7.053. The Respondent has paid Four Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$490) of the administrative penalty.

The remaining amount of Seventeen Thousand One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$17,150) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Four Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$490) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Six Hundred Forty Dollars (\$17,640) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 5 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Rita Laura Redow Karbalai, Docket No. 2011-1117-MWD-E" to:

> Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2010 to:

Compliance Monitoring Section Enforcement Division, MC 224 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 2.d;
- c. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. WQ0014217001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits;
- d. The certifications required by Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b and 2.c shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with the ordering provisions. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

> Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the

Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 9. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142.

For the Commission

Rita Laura Redow Karbalai

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director	U/11/12 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the Laura Redow Karbalai. I am authorized to agree Laura Redow Karbalai, and do agree to the acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment on such representation.	to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of Rita specified terms and conditions. I further
I understand that by entering into this Agreed Or procedural rights, including, but not limited to, the by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary he the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Arthis Agreed Order constitutes full and final adjudit forth in this Agreed Order.	the right to formal notice of violations addressed earing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing.
additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,Increased penalties in any future enforcem	nay result in: s submitted; neral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; nent actions; al's Office of any future enforcement actions; v law.
Jawa Karbala:	02/01/12
Signature	Date
Karhalaj	owner
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.